

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/916,532

Applicant(s)

NASU ET AL.

Examiner

Peter A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Abraham J. Rosner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/12/04

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 9 and 36 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)